Anyone Can Build an AI Platform.

Very Few Can Turn One into a Growing Concern.

The gap between building AI and commercializing it is not technical. It is operational, relational, regulatory, and earned over decades. Here is what that gap looks like — and why CloudCare is on the right side of it.

Kent E. Dicks, CEO & Founder, Life365 | March 2026

The Graveyard Is Full of Great Models

Healthcare AI has never been better funded, better publicized, or more crowded. There are hundreds of platforms that can demonstrate a compelling risk stratification model in a conference room, generate a beautifully designed dashboard, and describe a compelling vision of proactive, AI-driven care. Most of them will not build a sustainable business.

This is not because the AI is bad. In many cases the AI is genuinely good. It is because building a model and commercializing one are entirely different disciplines — separated by a set of operational, regulatory, and relational barriers that take years to cross and cannot be shortcut by better engineering.

The history of healthcare technology is littered with companies that had the right idea at the right time and still failed to scale: because they couldn't get reliable data from real patients at home, because their reimbursement strategy evaporated when the pilot ended, because their platform couldn't survive a federal security audit, or because they built the dashboard but not the workflow that made anyone act on it.

The question for investors, health systems, and government agencies evaluating connected health platforms is not "can this AI identify at-risk patients?" Almost any well-funded team can build that. The question is: "has this company demonstrated that it can make the AI work in the real world, with real patients, inside real clinical and financial constraints, and build a customer relationship that compounds over time?" That is an entirely different question — and it has a much shorter list of answers.

What Commercialization Actually Requires

An AI platform becomes a business only when five things exist simultaneously. Most platforms have one or two. Almost none have all five at scale.

What Commercialization Requires	What This Looks Like in Practice	How CloudCare Delivers It
1. A paying customer who doesn't leave	Not a pilot. Not a 6-month proof of concept. A contracted, renewing customer whose care team would face operational disruption if the platform went away.	Deployed with health systems, ACOs, and the VA under multi-year contracts. Catalytic Health Partners embedded CloudCare into daily clinical workflow — 60% reduction in ER visits, 40% reduction in hospitalizations.
2. A reimbursement pathway	The entity making the purchasing decision is rarely the one writing the check. Payment codes, value-based contracts, or government program eligibility must exist.	CMS ACCESS Model (July 2026) pays for exactly what CloudCare measures: outcomes against individual patient baselines. Rural Health Transformation Program funds technology-driven chronic disease management. VA contract provides direct federal reimbursement.
3. Clinical workflow integration	The most sophisticated AI alert is worthless if it lands in an inbox nobody checks. The platform must live inside the care team's existing workflow.	CloudCare's clinical portal (CloudCare) routes alerts to the right clinician within existing staffing models. FHIR integration pushes updates to referring providers automatically. Personalyze™ filters signal from noise so only actionable alerts surface.
4. Device and data operationalization	AI requires continuous, reliable data from real patients at home — not curated research datasets. Getting that data at clinical quality, at scale, with real compliance challenges, is an operational problem most AI companies have never attempted.	550+ FDA-cleared connected devices. Cellular and offline connectivity for rural and low-bandwidth environments. Non-smartphone-dependent design from the pawn shop lesson of 2006. 20 years of learning how to keep real patients engaged for 90+ days.
5. Regulatory and security clearance	Federal contracts and CMS-aligned programs require rigorous security certification — a 12-to-24-month process that eliminates most competitors before the first patient is enrolled.	HIPAA and HITRUST certified. VA Authority to Operate in process for $1B contract. 100+ country deployment history across enterprise clients. Security architecture built for government-grade data handling from day one.

Why Most AI Companies Stall

The typical healthcare AI company is founded by data scientists, funded by venture capital, and valued on the elegance of its model. It has a compelling story about what the AI can do with the right data. What it almost universally lacks is the operational knowledge of how to get that data — consistently, at clinical quality, from patients who are not in a clinic.

> *We learned the hard way in 2006 that if you give a smartphone to a Medicaid patient, it ends up at the pawn shop. That lesson drove every design decision we've made since:*

> *non-hackable devices, cellular-first connectivity, no app required, engagement built into the hardware. You don't learn that from a model. You learn it from two decades of deployment.*
> **Kent E. Dicks, CEO & Founder, Life365**

This operational knowledge is not transferable. It is embedded in architecture decisions, workflow designs, device configurations, and deployment protocols that took years of iteration to develop. A competitor with a better model and $50 million in fresh venture capital cannot acquire this knowledge quickly. They have to earn it — and the market will not wait for them to catch up.

The second place AI companies stall is reimbursement. Healthcare is unique among industries in that the entity making the purchasing decision — a health system, a care coordinator, a physician — is almost never the entity writing the check. The check comes from a payer, a government program, or a value-based arrangement that must have a specific, documented financial reason to fund the deployment. Without that pathway, AI platforms generate dashboards that nobody pays for. Pilots run for six months, produce compelling results, and expire when the grant runs out.

CloudCare was built during the years when reimbursement didn't exist — when cellular costs were $8 per device per month and RPM codes were aspirational. That constraint forced an architecture and a business model that could survive without favorable reimbursement. Now that the reimbursement has arrived — CMS ACCESS, Rural Health Transformation, the VA contract — the platform that spent 20 years waiting for it is already deployed and operational.

What CloudCare Has That Cannot Be Replicated Fast

The competitive question in connected health is not who has the best model. It is who has built the operational infrastructure that makes the model work at scale. Here is a direct comparison:

What AI Platforms Have	What CloudCare Has That AI Platforms Don't
A trained model on EHR data	20 years of operational learning: how to get reliable data from a non-compliant patient in a rural home
A risk score or dashboard	A contracted, renewing customer base with embedded clinical workflows that would face disruption if removed
A pilot program with 6-month sunset	A $1 billion, 8-to-10-year federal VA contract — won competitively against all comers

What AI Platforms Have	What CloudCare Has That AI Platforms Don't
A FHIR API and integration roadmap	A live Microsoft Fabric data pipeline consolidating home-generated patient data with health system data for population-level reporting
Venture-backed projections	Deployed clinical outcomes: 60% reduction in ER visits, 41% reduction in VA hospitalizations
A compliance checklist	HIPAA and HITRUST certification, VA Authority to Operate in process, 100+ country deployment history
A sales team calling health systems	Microsoft's enterprise sales force — 1,000+ healthcare clients — distributing CloudCare through an existing enterprise relationship
A reimbursement strategy	A platform already doing what CMS ACCESS Model pays for: outcome tracking against individual patient baselines

The Microsoft Fabric Advantage — Correctly Understood

The relationship between CloudCare and Microsoft is frequently misunderstood — and the correct understanding is actually more valuable than the common one.

CloudCare is not simply "integrated with Microsoft." The value is more specific and more defensible than that. CloudCare serves as the data integration and pipeline layer between patient homes and Microsoft Fabric — Microsoft's enterprise-grade health data consolidation platform. When a health system that runs on Microsoft's infrastructure wants to add home-generated, continuous patient data to their population health analytics, CloudCare is the connection that makes that possible.

What the Microsoft Fabric Integration Actually Does

CloudCare collects continuous vital sign and behavioral data from patients at home via 550+ connected devices. That data flows through CloudCare's First Mile data pipeline into Microsoft Fabric, where it is consolidated with the health system's existing EHR data, claims data, and population health records. The result is a unified, longitudinal patient dataset — the missing dataset — that makes AI-driven population health management actually work. Microsoft's enterprise sales force then distributes this capability to 1,000+ healthcare clients through an existing enterprise relationship that took years to build.

This is not a feature. It is a distribution moat. Building this partnership required years of enterprise relationship development, co-marketing investment, technical integration work, and the credibility of a platform with proven clinical outcomes. An AI company writing its first grant application today cannot purchase this position. It has to be earned over time — and the time has already been spent.

The practical implication for health systems evaluating connected health platforms is significant: choosing CloudCare does not mean adding another standalone system. It means adding a home data layer that feeds directly into the enterprise infrastructure they have already built, reported through the Microsoft Fabric environment their data teams already use. The integration cost is minimized. The data governance is already established. The population health analytics capability arrives immediately rather than in 18 months after a custom integration project.

The VA Contract: What a Real Commercial Relationship Looks Like

The clearest evidence of commercialization — as distinct from platform-building — is the VA contract. It is worth understanding what this relationship actually represents.

The Department of Veterans Affairs ran a competitive RFP for remote patient monitoring across its network of 18 million veterans. Of those veterans, 9 to 10 million actively use the VA system. Of those, only 70,000 are currently on remote patient monitoring — a coverage gap the VA has explicitly identified as unsustainable given its aging, increasingly rural patient population and its declining care coordinator workforce.

Four companies were selected from a competitive field. Life365 — as a subcontractor with Valor Healthcare — was one of them. The contract is $1 billion over 8 to 10 years. The mandate is to scale monitored veterans from 70,000 to between 700,000 and 2 million. The VA's own innovation reports have described this expansion as no longer a "nice to have" but operationally mandatory, given staffing losses and the accelerating chronic disease burden in the veteran population.

> *It's no longer a nice to have to be able to monitor veterans at home. It's going to be mandatory. The VA is losing care coordinators, losing doctors. What we're putting together is the efficiency that the government is looking for.*
> **Kent E. Dicks, CEO & Founder, Life365 — February 2026**

This is not a pilot. It is not a proof of concept. It is a federally contracted, competitively won, multi-year deployment with a specific patient population, a specific scaling mandate, and federal funding behind it. The Authority to Operate certification — the rigorous security process required to handle veteran health data — is in process and expected to complete in 2026, at which point deployment begins scaling immediately.

No AI company that does not already have a federal contract of this nature can replicate this position by building a better algorithm. The competitive process is over. The relationships are established. The compliance infrastructure is being certified. The window to compete for this specific opportunity is closed.

The Honest Assessment

Healthcare AI is experiencing its dot-com moment: enormous capital, enormous ambition, and an enormous graveyard of platforms that demonstrated brilliantly and deployed rarely. The companies that will define the next decade in connected health are not the ones with the most sophisticated models. They are the ones that built the operational infrastructure that makes the models work — that got the data, kept the patient engaged, satisfied the payer, cleared the regulator, and earned the customer relationship that compounds over time.

CloudCare is not an AI platform seeking its first customer. It is a commercialized, federally contracted, clinically validated, enterprise-deployed platform that has been earning its operational infrastructure for 20 years. The AI is the engine. The operational infrastructure is the vehicle. The Microsoft Fabric partnership is the distribution highway. And the vehicle is already on the road.

The question for any payer, health system, government agency, or investor evaluating this space is not whether AI-driven connected health will scale. It will. The question is which platform — of the hundreds that will make this claim in 2026 — has already crossed the five commercialization thresholds that separate a growing concern from a well-funded concept. That list is short. CloudCare is on it.

The Bottom Line

Many companies can create an AI platform. Very few can operationalize it, deploy it federally, integrate it into enterprise health infrastructure, demonstrate clinical outcomes in production, earn a multi-year government contract, and build the Microsoft Fabric distribution relationship that puts it in front of 1,000+ healthcare clients. CloudCare has done all five. The platform is built. The contracts are signed. The market has arrived.

Key References

VA Remote Patient Monitoring Contract — $1 billion, 8–10 year award. Life365 / Valor Healthcare subcontractor. 2022–2026.

Catalytic Health Partners deployment outcomes — 60% reduction in ER visits, 40% reduction in hospitalizations. Life365 platform.

VA RPM-HT program outcomes — 41% reduction in hospital admissions, 70% reduction in length of stay. 132,000 enrolled veterans.

CMS ACCESS Model — Outcome-aligned payments for tech-enabled chronic care. Launches July 2026. cms.gov

CMS Rural Health Transformation Program — $50 billion, 2026–2030. cms.gov

Microsoft Fabric — Enterprise health data consolidation platform. Microsoft Cloud for Healthcare partnership documentation.

Life365 Platform Documentation and CONVERGENCE manuscript — Kent E. Dicks, 2025–2026. life365.health